# Michael Papka

Intro to High Performing Comp.
Semester = Spring 2023
CS-494
42278-220231

No. of responses = 14
No. of students enrolled = 28

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean

Left pole

| 25% | 0% | 50% | 0% | 25% |

Right pole

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

Scale   1   2   3   4   5   Histogram

1. Instructor - Faculty Evaluation Questions

1.1) Rate the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness.

Poor | 0% | 0% | 15.4% | 38.5% | 46.2% | Excellent

1 2 3 4 5

n=13
av.=4.31
dev.=0.75
ab.=1

1.2) Rate the overall quality of the course.

Poor | 0% | 0% | 15.4% | 38.5% | 46.2% | Excellent

1 2 3 4 5

n=13
av.=4.31
dev.=0.75
ab.=1

1.3) How well did the course assignments/ quizzes/ examinations reflect content and emphasis of the course?

Not at all | 0% | 0% | 7.7% | 15.4% | 76.9% | To a Great Extent

1 2 3 4 5

n=13
av.=4.69
dev.=0.63
ab.=1

1.4) Was the instructor's use of technology (e.g., email, Blackboard, PowerPoint, other electronic and/ or web-based methods) effective?

Not at All | 0% | 0% | 9.1% | 27.3% | 63.6% | To a Great Extent

1 2 3 4 5

n=11
av.=4.55
dev.=0.69
ab.=2

1.5) The instructor was sensitive to the cultural/human diversity, diverse worldviews, learning disability, and / or physical disability of the students.

No Agreement | 0% | 0% | 10% | 10% | 80% | Strong Agreement

1 2 3 4 5

n=10
av.=4.7
dev.=0.67
ab.=4

1.6) How would you rate the physical environment in which you take **this class**, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate, and participate?

Poor | 0% | 0% | 23.1% | 30.8% | 46.2% | Excellent

1 2 3 4 5

n=13
av.=4.23
dev.=0.83
ab.=1

1.7) Course difficulty, relative to other courses was

Very easy | 0% | 23.1% | 46.2% | 23.1% | 7.7% | Very hard

1 2 3 4 5

n=13
av.=3.15
dev.=0.9
ab.=1

1.8) Course workload, relative to other courses was

Very easy | 7.7% | 15.4% | 38.5% | 30.8% | 7.7% | Very hard

1 2 3 4 5

n=13
av.=3.15
dev.=1.07
ab.=1

Michael Papka

Intro to High Performing Comp.
Semester = Spring 2023
CS-494
42289-220231

No. of responses = 4
No. of students enrolled = 6

Legend

Question text

Relative Frequencies of answers   Std. Dev.   Mean

Left pole   25%   0%   50%   0%   25%   Right pole

Scale   1   2   3   4   5   Histogram

n=No. of responses
av.=Mean
dev.=Std. Dev.
ab.=Abstention

---

1. Instructor - Faculty Evaluation Questions

1.1) Rate the instructor's overall teaching effectiveness.

Poor — 0% 0% 0% 25% 75% — Excellent
Scale: 1 2 3 4 5
n=4
av.=4.75
dev.=0.5

1.2) Rate the overall quality of the course.

Poor — 0% 0% 25% 25% 50% — Excellent
Scale: 1 2 3 4 5
n=4
av.=4.25
dev.=0.96

1.3) How well did the course assignments/ quizzes/ examinations reflect content and emphasis of the course?

Not at all — 0% 0% 25% 0% 75% — To a Great Extent
Scale: 1 2 3 4 5
n=4
av.=4.5
dev.=1

1.4) Was the instructor's use of technology (e.g., email, Blackboard, PowerPoint, other electronic and/ or web-based methods) effective?

Not at All — 0% 0% 0% 50% 50% — To a Great Extent
Scale: 1 2 3 4 5
n=4
av.=4.5
dev.=0.58

1.5) The instructor was sensitive to the cultural/human diversity, diverse worldviews, learning disability, and / or physical disability of the students.

No Agreement — 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% — Strong Agreement
Scale: 1 2 3 4 5
n=3
av.=5
dev.=0
ab.=1

1.6) How would you rate the physical environment in which you take **this class**, especially the classroom facilities, including your ability to see, hear, concentrate, and participate?

Poor — 0% 0% 0% 0% 100% — Excellent
Scale: 1 2 3 4 5
n=4
av.=5
dev.=0

1.7) Course difficulty, relative to other courses was

Very easy — 0% 25% 25% 50% 0% — Very hard
Scale: 1 2 3 4 5
n=4
av.=3.25
dev.=0.96

1.8) Course workload, relative to other courses was

Very easy — 25% 0% 25% 50% 0% — Very hard
Scale: 1 2 3 4 5
n=4
av.=3
dev.=1.41